

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,151	09/26/2003	Keren Jacobs	LAM1P178/P1189	8126	
22434	7590 07/22/2005		EXAM	INER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			TRAN, BINH X		
P.O. BOX 702 OAKLAND.	50 CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
. ,			1765		
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/200	DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/672,151	JACOBS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Binh X. Tran	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SUPPLEMED STATUTORY DEPLOY FOR BEDLY IS SET TO EXPIRE AMONITURE EDOM							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2005.							
· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
200 the analytica actained of the action for a not of the definition depicts flot received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Character of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							
	-/						

Application/Control Number: 10/672,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 14-15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Su et al. (US 6,828,251). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

2. The examiner decides to withdraw the final rejection mailed on 5-3-2005 in view of the newly discovered references. This office action is a non-final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Su et al. (US 6,828,251) in view of Wang et al. (US 6,040,619).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,151 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

Respect to claim 14, Su discloses a method for etching a feature in an etch layer (14) through a mask (12) over a substrate comprising:

placing the substrate in a process chamber;

providing a first etch plasma composition to the process chamber, wherein the first etch plasma composition begins etch a feature in the etch layer (Fig 1A, col. 5 lines 53-59);

providing a second etch plasma composition, wherein the second etch plasma composition continues to etch the feature in the etch layer (Fig 1B, col. 6 lines 35-44);

providing a third etch plasma composition, wherein the third etch plasma composition continues to etch the feature in the etch layer (Fig 1c, col. 7 lines 21-330).

Su fails to explicitly discloses the third etch plasma is more aggressive with respect to etch stop than the second plasma composition, and the second etch plasma composition is more aggressive with respective to the etch stop than the first etch plasma composition.

In a semiconductor process, Wang discloses a process to increase etch aggressive with respect to etch stop between each etching step (col. 4 line 55 to col. 5 line 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify Su in view of Wang by increasing etch aggressive with respect to etch stop because it will reduce the etching time for the etch stop layer.

Respect to claim 15, Wang discloses first plasma etch is more selective than the next etching step.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/672,151 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BinhTran

Binh X. Tran